## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

VAN JENKINS,
--------------

	-,			
	Plaintiff,		Case No. 1:14-cv-1091	
v.			Honorable Robert J. Jonker	
TERRY TURNER et al.,			ODDED OF #D A NGEED	
	Defendants.	/	ORDER OF TRANSFER	

This is a civil rights action brought by a state prisoner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff presently is incarcerated at the G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility, located in Jackson, Michigan. Plaintiff sues Terry Turner, Leonitta Turner, and Mark Davison, his former landlords and their agent. In his *pro se* complaint, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12205, by wrongfully taking and retaining a variety of Plaintiff's personal property.

Under the revised venue statute, venue in federal-question cases lies in the district in which any defendant resides or in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The events about which Plaintiff complains occurred in Ypsilanti, Michigan, which is located in Washtenaw County. Washtenaw County is within the geographical boundaries of the Eastern District of Michigan. 28 U.S.C. § 102(a). Plaintiff makes no specific allegations about Defendants' residency, but he suggests that they are residents of Washtenaw County or an adjacent county, all of which are located in the Eastern District of Michigan. Plaintiff's allegations against these Defendants arose in Washtenaw County, where

Case 1:14-cv-01091-RJJ-HWB ECF No. 4 filed 11/10/14 PageID.81 Page 2 of 2

Defendants allegedly committed the acts giving rise to this case. See Leroy v. Great W. United

Corp., 443 U.S. 173, 185-87 (1979). In these circumstances, venue is proper only in the Eastern

District. Therefore:

IT IS ORDERED that this case be transferred to the United States District Court for

the Eastern District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). It is noted that this Court has

not decided Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, nor has the Court reviewed

Plaintiff's complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A, or under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 10, 2014

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr. HUGH W. BRENNEMAN, JR. United States Magistrate Judge

- 2 -